UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,606	11/14/2005	Haruyuki Nakanishi	12699/27	7943
23838 KENYON & K	7590 03/04/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE	_	CANTELMO, GREGG		
	SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/556,606	NAKANISHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gregg Cantelmo	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
·—	,—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	,					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are objected to.	∑ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 November 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Brawing Neview (P10-940) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/14/05</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Preliminary Amendment

The preliminary amendment received November 14, 2005 has been entered.
 Action on the merits of claims 1-13 follows.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed November 14, 2005 has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 3 and 4 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 3 and 4 describe process steps of applying the voltage to the apparatus of claim 2 without further reciting any structure to the system of claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1795

5. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an electrostatic delivery module (claims 1 and 11) or multiple electrodes (claims 2-10 and 12-13) in a layer which is adjacent to or defining a wall of the conduit, does not reasonably provide enablement for the module or electrodes to be in the conduit themselves (as claimed). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicant is advised to amend the claims to more accurately define the placement of the electrostatic delivery module or multiple electrodes in relation to the conduit(s).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 3 and 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 3 and 4 are indefinite for while they recite a process of applying the voltage to the invention of claims 2 and 3, respectively, do not clearly recite any structural elements which would further define the claimed fuel cell system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1795

7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,756,718 (Ueno).

Ueno discloses a fuel cell system comprising a fuel cell (Figs. 1 and 8) where oxygen in an oxidizing gas is supplied to the cathode side of the electrolyte and hydrogen included in a fuel gas is supplied to the anode side of the electrolyte and an external electric field which supplies an electric field to the faces 7 of the unit cell which effect electrostatic delivery of water molecules in the fuel cell (Figs. 1 and 8 and col. 4, II. 34-50 as applied to claim 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno in view of either JP 09-266004 (JP '004) or U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0134167 (Hirakata).

Ueno discloses a fuel cell system comprising a fuel cell (Figs. 1 and 8) where oxygen in an oxidizing gas is supplied to the cathode side of the electrolyte and hydrogen included in a fuel gas is supplied to the anode side of the electrolyte and an external electric field which supplies an electric field to the faces 7 of the unit cell which effect electrostatic delivery of water molecules in the fuel cell (Figs. 1 and 8 and col. 4, II. 34-50 as applied to claim 1).

Ueno does not teach of incorporating a fuel cell into a vehicle.

Use of phosphoric acid fuel cells in a vehicle has been previously taught in the art as shown by either JP '004 (abstract) or Hirakata (para. 62).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the teachings of Ueno by incorporating the fuel cell of Ueno into a vehicle since it is well known in the art to use fuel cells as alternative and cleaner power sources for a vehicle.

Art Unit: 1795

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Pending clarification of the outstanding 112 rejections above, it may be that the invention of claims 2-10 and 12-13 are allowable over the prior art of record. None of the prior art of record are held to reasonably teach, suggest or render obvious the invention therein such as multiple electrodes arranged in a layer defining at least one of the reactant conduits and a voltage application module that applies a voltage to the multiple electrodes to effectuate electrostatic delivery of water droplets flocculated in the at least one reactant conduit and thereby deliver the water droplets out of the respective reactant conduit.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregg Cantelmo whose telephone number is 571-272-1283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gregg Cantelmo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795